MEETING MINUTES FOR THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

OF THE

LOUISIANA ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
HELD AT

LOUISIANA STATE EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM BUILDING

FOURTH FLOOR BOARD ROOM

8401 UNITED PLAZA BOULEVARD

BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

ON THE 17TH DAY OF AUGUST, 2012

COMMENCING AT 9:36 A.M.

REPORTED BY: ELICIA H. WOODWORTH, CCR

			——
		Page	2
1	Appearances of Board Members Present:		
2	Alden Andre		
3	Jason Elkoubi		
4	A.J. Roy		
5	Jay Rousseau		
6	Michael Saucier		
7	Thomas Cotten		
8	Robert M. Stuart, Jr.		
9			
10	Staff members present:		
11	Daria Vinning		
12	Brenda Guess		
13	Rick Broussard		
14	Seth Brown		
15	Susan Bigner		
16	Sajni Patel		
17	Melissa Moore		
18	Bob Cangelosi		
19	Anne Villa		
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

		Page 3
1	MR.	ROY:
2		Call to order the Board of Directors
3	Louisiana Econor	mic Development Corporation.
4		Roll call, please.
5	MS.	VINNING:
6		A.J. Roy.
7	MR.	ROY:
8		Here.
9	MS.	VINNING:
10		Jay Rousseau.
11	MR.	ROUSSEAU:
12		Here.
13	MS.	VINNING:
14		Alden Andre.
15	MR.	ANDRE:
16		Here.
17	MS.	VINNING:
18		Jason Elkoubi.
19	MR.	ELKOUBI:
20		Here.
21	MS.	VINNING:
22		Mike Saucier.
23	MR.	SAUCIER:
24		Here.
25	MS.	VINNING:

Ī		
		Page 4
1		Thomas Cotten.
2	MR.	COTTEN:
3		Here.
4	MS.	VINNING:
5		Harry Avant.
6	(No	response.)
7	MS.	VINNING:
8		Louis Reine.
9	(No	response.)
10	MS.	VINNING:
11		Robert Stuart.
12	MR.	STUART:
13		Here.
14	MS.	VINNING:
15		We have seven members present. We have
16	a quorum.	
17	MR.	ROY:
18		Very good.
19		The first order of business is the
20	presentation of	minutes, June the 15th Board Meeting.
21	Any additions or	c corrections?
22	MR.	ANDRE:
23		Move for approval.
24	MR.	ROY:
25		Motion for approval as presented.

```
Page 5
                      MR. ROUSSEAU:
 1
                          Second.
 2
                      MR. ROY:
 3
                          Second.
 4
 5
                          Any discussion?
                      (No response.)
 6
 7
                      MR. ROY:
                          Hearing none, all in favor, "aye."
 8
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
 9
                      MR. ROY:
10
                          All opposed, "nay".
11
12
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
13
                          Without objection.
14
                          Next, minutes of the Policy Committee
15
         Meeting, June 15th. Additions or corrections?
16
17
                      MR. ANDRE:
                          Move for approval.
18
19
                      MR. ROY:
20
                          Motion for approval as presented.
21
                      MR. COTTEN:
22
                          Second.
                      MR. ROY:
23
24
                          All in favor, "aye".
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
25
```

```
Page 6
                      MR. ROY:
 1
                          All opposed, "nay".
 2
 3
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
 4
 5
                          Without objection.
                          And finally, the Screening Committee
 6
 7
         minutes, July the 20th.
                          If I'm going too fast, y'all slow me
 8
 9
         down.
                      MR. COTTEN:
10
                          I move for approval.
11
                      MR. ROY:
12
                          Motion to approve as presented.
13
                      MR. STUART:
14
                          Second.
15
                      MR. ROY:
16
17
                          Second.
                          Any discussion?
18
19
                      (No response.)
20
                      MR. ROY:
21
                          Hearing none, all in favor "aye".
22
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
                      MR. ROY:
23
                          All opposed, "nay".
24
25
                      (No response.)
```

MR. ROY:

Without objection.

All right. Ms. Bigner, under the Small Business Loan Program, AMCREF Community Capital, LLC.

MS. BIGNER:

Good morning. I would like to call Kyle Saucier up to the table.

AMCREF Community Capital is out of New Orleans. This is Kyle Saucier, he is the vice president and also the portfolio manager for AMCREF. They're a Community Development Entity, a CDE, which was certified in 2011 by the U.S. Treasurer's Office. They have a staff of five people who work in the New Orleans area. A CDE is a community developer who goes into small and low income communities and helps with nontraditional financing. The majority of their work deals with New Market Tax Credits.

What we are asking -- well, what they would like to do is become eligible for their Small Deals Fund. Their Small Deals Fund is mostly for companies who do not meet the definition for the New Market Tax Credits, but still need financial assistance and they cannot get traditional financing, so they're looking for nontraditional. What they do is, they step in, and from the proceeds from the tax credits, they're

able to finance these small companies.

Currently, they've done 509,000 --

MR. K. SAUCIER:

Yes, from the Small Deals Fund.

MS. BIGNER:

-- in the Small Deals Fund, and, like I said, they're in the New Orleans area, so this is a community that could really use the assistance.

What I would like to do is be able to have us do the guarantee on the deals so that they can assist more companies in their community.

I'm going to turn it over to Kyle and let him describe their business a little bit to you.

#### MR. K. SAUCIER:

I don't know how familiar y'all are with the New Markets Tax Credit Program, but AMCREF is a, like she said, it's a CDE. We've received five rounds of tax credits. It's a Federal program run by the U.S. Treasury, and what we do is, we get these allocations and tax credits, which have to go into the low income programs. That's key to the program. We make money by monetizing these tax credits. It's a six-person operation. We hired someone last night to increase that. The two partners who run the program, they take the proceeds from, you know, generating these tax

Page 9

credits, and we would like to kind of do some smaller deals. One of the problems with the New Market Tax Credits is there's a lot of attorneys involved, there's a lot of accountants involved, they tend to be -- they have to be larger projects. Usually five to \$6-million projects. We can't do smaller-sized deals. It's harder to the do 50,000, \$100,000 loans. Small deal funds are done directly out of proceeds from our partners. We're not a bank. We don't have depository funds or anything like that. If we can get into a guarantee program, that might allow us to do a few more deals and not have to tie up all the partners' capital to try do some of the these small deals.

So our portfolio, we've got \$160-million worth of tax credits under management, and it's basically from Texas to Florida, mainly the Southeast is where we serve. We started in Louisiana. We've kind of expanded it for this program, but we'll focus on Louisiana for our guarantee program. But, again, we see a lot of small businesses. We do a lot of rural deals. We try to do a lot of rural deals, even though we're in New Orleans. It's not a rural area, but, you know, our first deals were in now Orleans. We have a deal up in Baton Rouge as well, but we do think this might be the program for us to do some small business lending.

Page 10

Any questions that you may have about our firm?

#### MR. ANDRE:

I have a question. What is the failure rate at these small companies? How many of them get into --

# MR. K. SAUCIER:

We have our -- we're actually working on this right now. We've written off -- of the \$160-million of tax credits, we've written off 2.1 million in the five years. Our default rate is around .67 percent of managing assets, and our write-off rate is 1.4 percent. And we're doing higher-risk deals. You know, the purpose of the program is -- you know, we see deals that are, if they can get bank financing, they probably would. We charge -- the tax credit, the New Market Tax Credit, is to be below market rates for credits that are having trouble finding traditional financing. That's what this whole Federal program that we do is for, is to try to find that incent investment in low-income entities.

So we do below-market interest rates -with the New Market Tax Credits, we're able to do -with that Federal program, we're able to do below-market
interest rates for a project that may have trouble

finding traditional bank financing. So our clients are a little more stressed than you would normally see. You know, for one reason or another, the bank doesn't want to do it. It has a stay in seven years. That's not the same on small business financing. We would like to do some gap financing through small-term bridge financing for small businesses.

But, no, we're very proud of our default rates. We find our line is below most banks.

## MS. BIGNER:

And with them assisting us with the State Small Business Credit Initiative, we'll be able to reach out to a lot of companies that we would not be able to reach any other way because they're not able to go to the banks, per se, so this would be helping us to reach those distressed areas that we've been trying to focus the SSBCI funds on.

LED staff recommends AMCREF Community
Capital, LLC as a lender to participate in the Small
Business Loan Guarantee Program for the following
reasons: AMCREF Community Capital, LLC has a strong
desire to simulate the flow of private capital,
long-term loans and other financial assistance to small
business within Louisiana. They have knowledge,
expertise and means to provide sound financing for the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 12

development expansion and retention of small businesses in Louisiana, especially within distressed areas. organization is dedicated to addressing the concern and needs of the distressed communities by providing high levels of employment, income growth and expanding economic opportunities. The U.S. Treasury has contracted and stated that as long as funds have lost their Federal classification, they will be considered private funds, so, therefore, we've asked the U.S. Treasury if -- because these are proceeds from the New Money -- from the New Market Tax credits -- I don't know why I keep trying to get money in there -- that as long as they've lost their Federal classification, they can be considered private funds, so that is in line with the SSBCI financing. The funds used for the Small Deals Fund are returned from the New Market Tax Credit investments. They are considered private. With the approval of AMCREF Community Capital, LLC, LEDC will be able to further its mission to focus on low-income communities and low-income individuals. If the Board chooses to approve AMCREF, LEDC requests -- I'm sorry. If the Board chooses the approve AMCREF Community Capital's request, LEDC staff will only consider sound loans submitted by the organization that meets LEDC Small Business Loan Guarantee's eligibility

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 13

requirements, as long as resources permit.

## MR. ELKOUBI:

Can you tell us a little bit more about the kinds of companies you envision working with? Also, how do you identify them and establish relationships with them?

# MR. K. SAUCIER:

The tax credit, the New Market Tax Credit Program, it's a competitive allocation. There's 10 times more the demand for the tax credits than there is actual allocation. We get calls constantly looking for allocation. What we have focused on are renewable energy projects, green projects. That's kind of one of the things we try to focus on. We're looking at a facility up in Lake Providence, which is a highly distressed area. They're doing these, you know, biopolymers. There's a new space age technology to try to create, you know, some green technologies within the State. So we're getting a lot of calls in that regard. That's what our focus is on. We're trying to do green, responsible, sustainable businesses. That's been our focus. You know, we've tried to do -- you know, we've tried to solicit businesses affected by the Gulf oil spill. They're in our backyard. That's kind of what we do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 14

One of our initial flagship investments was the Make It Right Foundation. We're able to take a lot of donation that they had and turn that. That was in the lower 9th Ward. They were able to build more houses and do sustainable building in that and solar technology. You know, some of the other things we've done, you know schools. We've done Ursuline Academy in New Orleans. We obtained their Early Childhood Development. We're looking for community impacts, so, you know, we're looking for green, we're looking forward and we're looking for job impacts, so it's not just refinancing someone else's development. This project is going to expand your business. It's going to create more jobs. We want a community impact on the stuff that we do. We have to. There's a big charge in this program, so, like I said, the projects have to be of a certain scale because of the economics involved in it. Hopefully we can get into some smaller lender projects. We get a lot of calls for smaller deals. We get a lot of calls for smaller loans that are \$200,000 in gap in funding. Well, it's a great job, but that's just -it's not economically feasible with the tax credit side, so maybe we can start doing some of that.

Additionally, I come from a bank background. I worked for Gulf Coast Bank & Trust in New

Page 15

Orleans for 10 years. Our partner, Cliff, he worked with Stonehenge Capital. Between the four senior members, there's over 40 years of investment banking and community banking experience, so we still have contacts. We're still seeing deals constantly. We're just kind of a boutique firm, so if we can kind of broaden our product, we can touch more businesses.

MR. ELKOUBI:

Sure. Thank you.

MR. ANDRE:

This is a Federal program, so what's our role and what's our exposure?

MR. K. SAUCIER:

This is -- what we want to do, we're taking proceeds from money that we've already made on this tax credit side. There's no exposure. This is money that the partners, you know, they can take themselves, but they want to kind of try to build our AMCREF Community Capital. We want to tap into new markets. There's no, like, recapture or something. That's like a separate business with separate responsibilities with the Treasury that we have to adhere to. I mentioned the Tax Credit Program just as a way of kind of saying what we're kind of bringing to the table the businesses we're seeing or the types of

Page 16

businesses that we look at in the community development, but this guarantee program, as we envision, is just us trying to take our own capital and maybe do slightly larger loans or maybe do more loans than we might already do, which are small -- it's like a separate thing from the tax credit side. The tax credit side provides us --

MR. ANDRE:

Are we co-owners? What --

MS. BIGNER:

Well, we'll continue to do the guarantees like we would do for a traditional bank. These are going to be from the smaller loans. They're small deals, so what they'll do is, they will service the loan just like a traditional bank would do, but they will depend on our guarantee if something should happen with the loan. It's just strictly the guarantee that we have with traditional banks that we're now trying to reach out to more nontraditional banks because they're able to service those individuals that cannot get the traditional loans.

## MR. K. SAUCIER:

It's probably my fault for confusing you and talking too much about the tax credits. We just want to kind of say, we're not just for people who

walked in off the street and would like a guarantee to make some loans, you know.

## MS. BIGNER:

They pretty much just kind of really recently just got the Small Deals Fund going, and they're just really looking for someone to help them. It would be another tool in their tool box, just like the banks, to help them reach out further.

## MR. COTTEN:

It looks like you're changing commission, trying to go a little bit smaller, so we're going to be seeing more processing, more administration, more loan activity, how are you going to handle that?

Is one of your partners or one of your --

# MR. K. SAUCIER:

We just added a sixth person to our outfit right now. There were five previously. And we've got the bandwidth to do more deals. You know, these tax credit deals are larger in scope, but, you know, it could be a \$10-million loan in there. We've got the bandwidth to do more, and that's what we're looking to do. We're trying to utilize our resources now to get -- you know, we've got down time. It's kind of a cyclical business, and we've got the bandwidth and we've certainly got the pipeline of deals coming in.

Page 18

You know, we could just use a little more capital to help us with those. We've got the bandwidth.

## MR. COTTEN:

So you want to go for smaller, more community-receptive loans, and the reason you're going after that market is?

# MR. K. SAUCIER:

Well, we think there's an underserved market. You know, I -- having come from a bank, I'm not getting a sense that there's a lot of lending that's going on out there on the commercial lending side.

That's -- I would not be getting as many calls as I do if it was as easy to get a commercial loan as some people would like you to believe it is, so...

# MR. COTTEN:

In the small loan area, 50 to 150,000?

# MR. K. SAUCIER:

Fifty to 250.

# MS. BIGNER:

I was going to say less than \$300,000, which is a hard market. A lot of the banks, they're usually going after a little bigger, and so there's kind of that gap, these businesses that only need \$100,000, so we're really hoping that AMCREF can step in and meet that.

Page 19

#### MR. K. SAUCIER:

We're not looking to go out there and get 20 loans together tomorrow. If we get approval here, this is going to be something we want to kind of put into our chest of tools and kind of see what we can do and work with LEDC and see what their deal profile is and hopefully find some loans that work and get some money out the door.

# MR. ROUSSEAU:

I was just going to ask, what are we actually approving, though?

## MS. BIGNER:

What we're asking is, with our rules, traditional banks can come before us and do not have to be approved as a qualified lender. Nontraditional have to come to the Board and be approved as eligible to be a lender in association with LEDC. So what we're bringing is, we're bringing his qualifications and everything and asking the Board to make him classified as an eligible lender.

## MR. ROUSSEAU:

And then we will come back later if he's got deals, and we will vet them at that time?

# MS. BIGNER:

Right.

	Page 20
1	MR. ROUSSEAU:
2	Okay. I'm good.
3	MS. BIGNER:
4	It's not something we do every month.
5	We've only had a couple that we've brought to the Board
6	as eligible lenders, but we're really excited to be able
7	to work with Kyle and his group.
8	MR. ROY:
9	Any other questions or comments?
10	MR. SAUCIER:
11	I move for approval.
12	MR. ROY:
13	Motion to approve.
L4	MR. ROUSSEAU:
15	Second.
16	MR. ROY:
17	Second.
18	I have a couple. Tell me about your
19	underwriting on these deals. How are you going
20	MR. K. SAUCIER:
21	Well, I mean, we do a lot of the same
22	stuff. We're going to have to do credit checks on
23	inventory. We want to see a history of financials. You
24	know, I think some of the deals we see aren't going
25	you know, they're not going to have audited or properly

Page 21

reviewed financial. They're going to be company-prepared. We're more collateral lenders than cash flow lenders is kind of, I think, one of the things that may be a little more different with -- and hopefully some of these things get approval, but that's kind of our background is being more -- if deals could cash flow, they could probably get a loan anyway, so -- but if there's collateral there, and we're trying to be a bridge financing and kind of step out a little bit and see, you know...

## MR. ROY:

And that might distinguish you somewhat from the banks, collateral versus cash flow.

## MR. K. SAUCIER:

Yeah. We want to do community impacts, but, you know, we'll probably be more collaterally structured than cash flow based. I mean, I don't want a bad loan. I don't want to do a loan and then be repossessing a 60-ton chiller in three months, but we're going to have to do deals where they can't go and just walk down the street and get a bank loan. They probably wouldn't call us. We're going to be a second or third call on someone's call sheet.

# MS. BIGNER:

And Kyle and I -- I sent him the rules

Page 22 for our program and we've discussed the one-on-one 1 2 collateral and the equity requirements and the interest 3 rate and everything else and they're willing to work with those guidelines. 4 MR. ROY: 5 One other thing, mentioned a venture 6 7 from Florida to Louisiana and perhaps elsewhere, what percentage of these are you going to focus? 8 program is just going to be for Louisiana? 9 MR. K. SAUCIER: 10 This program is just for Louisiana 11 deals, so, yeah. 12 MR. ROY: 13 Any other discussion? 14 15 (No response.) MR. ROY: 16 Hearing none, all in favor, "aye". 17 18 (Several members respond "aye".) 19 MR. ROY: 20 All opposed, "nay". 21 (No response.) 22 MR. ANDRE: 23 I'm going to abstain. 24 MR. ROY: 25 Mr. Andre is abstaining.

Page 23

It's approved. Good luck to you.

Please keep us posted. The best to you.

MR. K. SAUCIER:

Thank you very much.

MS. BIGNER:

Thank you.

MR. ROY:

Next order of business, Ms. Patel for the EDAP Program, Forum US, Incorporated out of St. Martin Parish.

MS. PATEL:

Good morning. Today I have an EDAP application for Forum US, Inc. They're requesting funds for infrastructure and business, and they're located in Broussard, Louisiana at 920 Memorial City Way, Suite 1000 70518. I have today -- I have the Parish President of St. Martin Parish, who will be their sponsor, Guy Cormier. I also have Carl Daniel and Mr. Dan Broussard.

Forum Energy Technology, also known as FET, was formed in the Summer of 2010 and was designed as a merger and was organized into two business divisions, drilling and subsea, and production and infrastructure business. Forum US, Inc. is the operating entity for FET. The manufacturing operation located in Broussard is applying as part of the drilling

and subsea business. They produced products for both to access oil tools, which is tubular handling equipment, and advanced manufacturing technologies. This company intends to build a 150,000-square-foot plant on 25 acres of land.

They've requested \$700,000 in EDAP funds from the State to do the following: Excavation of ditches for placement of drainage culverts, purchase and installation of culverts, risers and covers, along with the backfill and compression of ditches around and above the culverts. The other estimated 20.2-million private investment includes: Land purchase, which is 1.2-million; 155,000-square-foot manufacturing building, which is 13.5-milling; IT and office equipment of 800,000; and additional manufacturing equipment of 4.9-million.

As far as the recommendation goes, staff recommends approval of this project as an EDAP Job Credits Loan. The company will be given five years to achieve their proposed 125 new job commitment, which they must retain throughout the five-year loan for the EDAP commitment. If the required jobs are created and retained at the stipulated annual payroll levels, then this EDAP will be considered satisfied. However, if the company does not create the projected jobs and payroll

on an annual basis, the unearned credit balance for that year will be due and payable to LEDC, plus interest.

The contingencies are: If approved by the LEDC Board, the funding for the project will depend on the availability of LEDC funds allocated for EDAP by the Board at the time of approval. This project must also be approved by the DOA Office of Facilities, Planning and Control as eligible for Capital Outlay funding. The second contingency is that the EDAP applicant will have six months to start the project or will have to appear before the LEDC Board to explain why the project is not underway.

MR. ROY:

Okay. Do any of these gentlemen care to add anything to it?

## MR. CORMIER:

Guy Cormier, Parish President of St.

Martin Parish. It's not everyday that a company comes along and wants to set up shop in a parish like myself.

We are a rural parish. I think we have about 52,000 people. The thing most impressive, I think, about

Forum, their average pay of new employees is about \$60,000 a year, which is a far, far cry above our medium income. We're a poverished parish. So in an effort to try and locate them within our parish, we were able to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 26

assist them in purchasing a piece of property. Just as you know -- I think you have all have a map of the property located in the packet. That map shows an existing parish drainage canal that cuts up their property pretty bad, and we would need the funding investment, exactly what Sajni said. We're just trying to get funding to redirect that drainage so that they can set up their shop and provide these jobs. It's 125 new jobs, but they're also looking into bringing over up to 200 jobs, so we just wanted to see if you guys would let us go forward with it. As far as getting started at any time, you know, once we find out if we have approval or not, Forum in St. Martin Parish is ready to pull the trigger immediately. We're actually probably wishing we could have gotten it on the agenda a couple of months ago. We just weren't ready. That's how quick they want to get moving.

MR. ROY:

Gentlemen?

MR. DANIEL:

The only thing I would add is -- the nice thing that I would add is -- the nice thing about this company is, this is the sun of Louisiana. This company was founded and started in the Broussard area, and we have grown from two guys in a shop, you know, in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 27

a garage, to over 200 employees today. We continue to grow. This will be our third move. We originally started a couple of miles down the road in a much smaller shop, and as Guy says, we're ready to get started. We finalized the land about two weeks ago, and we wanted to have this facility in operation by June 2013. Lord knows we need it, and with the growth and the projections that we're seeing, we need to get started.

MR. BROUSSARD:

Ditto.

MR. ROY:

"Two Guys in a Shop" would made a good legal name for it.

Question or comments?

MR. ANDRE:

I have a question.

MR. ROY:

Yes, sir.

MR. ANDRE:

The two acres is owned by the parish?

MR. CORMIER:

Yes, sir. It's adjacent to a parish -- well, a parish industrial park, but, no, they actually purchased the property themselves.

	Page 28
1	MR. ANDRE:
2	But the company will own the 25 acres?
3	MR. CORMIER:
4	Yes, sir.
5	MR. ANDRE:
6	And this ditch crosses this private
7	property?
8	MR. CORMIER:
9	Yes, sir.
10	MR. ANDRE:
11	Should this project fail, who owns the
12	pipe and stuff that will be used?
13	MR. CORMIER:
L4	Should the project fail, you said? I
15	guess the parish would definitely own the pipe.
16	MR. SAUCIER:
17	I guess I would ask, is the ditch, the
18	work to be done, public right-of-way, public easement?
19	MR. CORMIER:
20	Yes. Well, you know, we have a public
21	easement where it exists. We'll be repaving a public
22	easement to move it on the property that they currently
23	own, so in a swap, in other words, we're going to
24	MR. SAUCIER:
25	It's a public improvement project to

```
Page 29
         enhance their private investment?
 1
                      MR. CORMIER:
 2
                          Yes.
 3
                      MR. ROY:
 4
 5
                          Any other questions or comments?
                      (No response.)
 6
 7
                      MR. ROY:
 8
                          Hearing none, what is the pleasure of
 9
         the Board?
                      MR. STUART:
10
                          I move.
11
                      MR. ROY:
12
                          Motion for approval as presented.
13
                          Second?
14
15
                      MR. COTTEN:
                          Second.
16
17
                      MR. ROY:
                          Comments from the public?
18
19
                      (No response.)
20
                      MR. ROY:
21
                          Hearing none, all in favor "aye".
22
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
                      MR. ROY:
23
                          All opposed, "nay".
24
25
                      (No response.)
```

Page 30

MR	ROY	٠
1,117	TOT	۰

Without objection. Congratulations.

Please keep us posted. We want to hear about your success.

## MR. ROY:

Any comments from the public on this matter or the other AMCREF deal?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Okay. Moving along, the Secretary Treasurer's Report, Ms. Villa.

## MS. VILLA:

Good morning. You have in front of you a revised Secretary Treasurer's Report. I know that's it different than what's in your packet. We just had some final changes into the August closing we just finished this week.

Currently looking at Page 1, the Financial Assistance Program, we currently have a budget of \$200,000. We don't have any projected expenditures currently at this time, so we have a balance projection of \$200,000.

For the State Small Business Credit

Initiative, we have a budget of 4,324,276, and we
currently have some protections of approved expenditures

Page 31

of \$251,752. Later, we'll be discussing the withdrawal of a previous approval of \$1-million, so we'll have a balance expected of 5,072,524.

Going to the Capital Outlay, we've broken this out in between the EDAP Program, as well as the Economic Development Site Readiness, which the details are on Page 3 of your packet, and we have a total Capital Outlay of 22,246,340. We have the 700,000 which was just approved by the Board, which leaves a balance of 21,546,340. We currently have projects under review by LED of \$500,000 for the EDAP Program, and then we also have for the EDRED Program a 700-acre site that we're working with for a cost of 113,077.

If you go to Page 4, we've got our Fund Balance Projections for this \$1,731,003. If you turn to the last page, it's got the details of the Adjusted Fund Balance. Starting out at the 12,195, and then the reductions, that gives you the 7,226,000, that ties to the summary on Page 4 of the Obligated Fund Balance.

Do you have any questions? I'll try to answer since KC's not here.

# MR. COTTEN:

I do have a question, maybe Ms. Bigner is going to be able to help me on this. I see on our Small Business Credit Initiative and Venture Capital

Page 32 Program we have \$1,000 for Louisiana Fund II; is that --1 2 a million; is that million we approved several months 3 ago? 4 MS. BIGNER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. COTTEN: 6 7 So it's just now getting funded, so he has met all of the these obligations? 8 MS. BIGNER: 9 No. We're going to handle that --10 you're talking about Louisiana Fund II? We're going to 11 handle that after all that we're taking care of. 12 MR. COTTEN: 13 14 Okay. MS. VILLA: 15 It's actually, Thomas, that's what --16 Susan is going to talk about this later, but this is 17 actually one that we're asking the Board to withdraw the 18 19 previous approval because of issues that Susan will 20 explain whenever she addresses the Board, that would 21 come across. I think they were approved in February. 22 MR. COTTEN: 23 And you have two more down here for the 24 Fund, they have submitted -- both of those have 25 submitted applications?

Page 33 1 MS. BIGNER: Lafayette General has submitted an 2 3 initial application. They're going to send me either today or next week a revised with some revised 4 information. They will be coming for a million dollars. 5 I spoke to a couple of the people from the foundation. 6 7 It sounds like it's going to be a really interesting group, and I've spoken to Adam Knapp and he --8 MR. COTTEN: 9 This is a different one now? 10 MS. BIGNER: 11 This is BRAC -- Ion. It's coming --12 it's a brand new application that they will be 13 14 submitting within the next week or so. MR. COTTEN: 15 And it will be -- we don't know yet 16 who's submitting it? 17 18 MS. BIGNER: 19 Yes. The group that helped Leslie 20 Jacobs set up her New Orleans Startup Fund, and I cannot 21 think of them off the top of my head. 22 MR. COTTEN: 23 EMH? 24 MS. BIGNER: 25 Yes, sir. They're going to be the ones

```
Page 34
         who are going to be managing the fund.
 1
 2
                      MR. COTTEN:
 3
                          Thank you.
                      MS. BIGNER:
 4
 5
                          You're welcome.
                      MR. ROY:
 6
 7
                          Any other questions or comments from the
         Treasurer's Report?
 8
 9
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
10
                          Hearing none, I will entertain a motion
11
12
         to accept.
                      MR. ANDRE:
13
                          So moved.
14
15
                      MR. ROY:
16
                          Motion to accept as presented.
17
                      MR. ROUSSEAU:
                          Second.
18
19
                      MR. ROY:
20
                          Second.
21
                          Any discussion?
22
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
23
                          Hearing none, all in favor "aye".
24
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
25
```

	Page 35
1	MR. ROY:
2	Any comments from the public?
3	(No response.)
4	MR. ROY:
5	It's approved.
6	The Accountant's Report, Mr. Smith or
7	Ms. Bigner.
8	MS. BIGNER:
9	We're in the middle of doing our annual
10	audit and so the State closed their books Wednesday and
11	he is in the office doing his accountant duties so that
12	we can finish up and get our audit completed. So he
13	asked me to step in and do the Accountant's Report for
14	you.
15	He submitted the report, we have
16	Participation Loans, with the one we've got is ADW
17	Reality, LLC. They are more than 90 days past due, and
18	we're if you would like to know some current
19	information on it, Seth Brown can step up.
20	MR. ROY:
21	Refresh my memory on the deal.
22	MS. BIGNER:
23	It originally started out as ADW. It is
24	a dealership up in Bastrop that was sold to I'm going
25	to let Seth, so that I don't mess it up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 36

#### MR. BROWN:

ADW started off as a -- it was a dealership. ADW was the realtor -- it was two separate entities. It was a realtor that owned the property that -- a Toyota store, a Honda store and a Chrysler dealership was there, and it was owned by a previous gentleman, William Ernest, if I'm not mistaken, was the owner of it. Some things went awry. It was some tangling with the family, and you know what happens with that, things tank. Well, Chrysler -- they got rid of they Chrysler store because of what was happening in the economy and with Chrysler. They kept the Toyota store, and they kept the Honda store. Well, a gentleman by the name of Butch Carter -- you guys probably know Butch Carter. He played basketball. His brother is Chris Carter, who we see on ESPN all of the time -- he acquired it under MV Realty. Well, currently, he seems to feel as if everything was not disclosed to him about the previous Participation. This was originated as a Participation. It was a 40/60 Participation. LED had 40 percent of the loan, and Enterprise Corporation of the Delta had 60 percent of the loan. Now, they're -currently, they're known as Hope Credit Union. Okay? Well, he has some type of deferment, and they were trying to work something out. That's not going well,

Page 37

and then the banker and him walked away from the table for a moment. While the bank was trying to contact him, he was ignoring the bank and he started calling me and wanted to present and tell something to the Board.

Well, technically the bank has the lead on this. The bank has to do any type of workout on this deal.

Anything he has to tell us, it's nothing -- it has to be done with the bank, you know. So, in essence, right now, it's 90 days past due. The bank is speaking of going into foreclosure proceedings with them and taking legal matters with it.

Having said that, we found out after doing some initial investigation, MV Realty, as I said before, owned the real estate that these entities were in. MV Realty, he took his -- he has another group called Carter Group. He took Carter Group, he put the Toyota stores in it, moved across the street and, now, the property that MV Realty has is a Goodyear Tire. Well, from what I've read is a Goodyear Tire shop. He has some other entity endeavors going as well. But that's where we stand with it right now. We've been talking to Mr. Lewis in figuring out where he was going to go with this legally.

Having said that, we have a legal guarantee with Mr. Carter. Mr. Carter seems to be a man

Page 38

of, you know, great means, so we expect him to honor that debt that he assumed, and that's where we stand with that.

This is a loan that I think was originated over eight or nine years ago, so it's been a moment.

MR. ROY:

Does the bank actually call the loan?

MR. BROWN:

The bank has -- well, you see, they said they're going to call the loan -- they have not called it as of yet -- and then start the foreclosure proceedings, you know.

MR. ROY:

Any other questions or comments?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

Ms. Bigner.

MS. BIGNER:

Direct Loans and Investments, we have Aviation Group, Inc., and they're paying us as they're supposed to. Under the EDLOP Loan Portfolios, we have four. We will have two more that will be added. They're callbacks, and they will be paying over a timely period. One of them is International Mezzo, and the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 39

other is Pierce Foundation, so you should see those next month.

On Page 2 is a list of the Guaranteed Loan Portfolios. All are current, except for Solangia Hair. The bank has not called the loan, but it's pretty -- we're petty sure that it will be called before the next couple of months.

Page 3 is the Allowance for Loan Losses, on all three of them, and then after that are the -- is the Small Business Credit Initiative. This is a list of all of the loans that have been approved using the SSBCI funds. Those that have zero balances, the funds -- the loans have either not closed or they have not -- the loans have a zero balance. If you'll notice that Great Southern Galvanizing, which is the first one, has a zero balance. He has not made use of the line of credit. My last understanding is that he's fixing to go to two shifts, one during the day and one in the evening, and when he does that, he will more than likely make use of that loan credit. So even though it's zero, that's not necessarily a bad thing because he's operating without use of it and he's still expanding and going real strong.

The following pages are the financial statements, and if you'll notice, they are as of May

Page 40

because Errol is in the process of closing out the year.

If you have any questions, I'll be more than happy to try to assist you.

MR. ROY:

Questions?

(No response.)

MR. ROY:

I have one. The number of traditional guarantee deals seems to be down; can someone comment on that?

### MS. BIGNER:

Well, with the LEDC funds, we've only got about 200,000, so we're trying to make use of the Federal funds, so all of the loans that we have recently happened is, we're making use of the Federal funds.

We've got five years to make use of those funds. We really want to make the most out of it that we can.

LEDC -- we still have funds in the LEDC portion, the State program, that we can use, but, right now, it's very small. It's, like I said, 200,000, and we really want to make use of the Federal funds if at all possible.

# MR. ROY:

We could move some money around; right?
MS. BIGNER:

Page 41

Well, the rules that we have in place state that we can use the Federal guarantee for three years. We have about three loans that are actually requesting a guarantee of five years, so at the end of those three years, they will move from the Federal over to the State.

### MS. GUESS:

And I just want to add that the reason why you're seeing that decline is that as part of the application that we have for them to send, the charge was to take that \$113-million and turn it over 10 times to make 113 loans of a million dollars and put it in new investments, so we're trying to make that our target in utilizing the Federal program, and it's been received really, really well.

### MR. ROY:

I'm not -- certainly that's a good program. I was thinking that we had the ability to move some funds around elsewhere, such that the traditional guarantee program would not wane substantially because it's been the bread and butter of LEDC, really.

# MS. GUESS:

And what we've been looking at is that -- the guarantee programs is still the bread and butter. We're just using a different source of funds.

```
Page 42
                      MR. ROY:
 1
                          Okay. Anyone else?
 2
 3
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
 4
 5
                          Any comments from the public?
                      (No response.)
 6
 7
                      MR. ROY:
 8
                          Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to
 9
         accept the Accountant's Report.
                      MR. COTTEN:
10
                          Motion.
11
                      MR. ROY:
12
13
                          Motion to accept as presented.
                      MR. ROUSSEAU:
14
15
                          I'll second.
                      MR. ROY:
16
17
                          Any discussion?
                      (No response.)
18
19
                      MR. ROY:
20
                          Hearing none, all in favor "aye".
21
                      (Several members respond "aye".)
                      MR. ROY:
22
23
                          All opposed?
24
                      (No response.)
                      MR. ROY:
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 43

None. Without objection.

Mr. Elkoubi, President's Report.

# MR. ELKOUBI:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. What I would like to do today is tell you a little bit about LED's engagement in the Revenue Study Commission. I know many of you have read about it and perhaps delved into the details already. Before we dive deep into that, I just want to mention a few things. Since we last met, we've had some major wins in both North and South Louisiana. In North Louisiana, Tiburon and Associates has announced that they'll be creating 350 new jobs in connection with the maintenance and overhaul of transport vehicles at Camp Minden. In South Louisiana, a couple of major projects, including Methanex's announcement of a \$550-million dollar methanol plant in Ascension Parish, as well as Ameritas Technologies here in Downtown Baton Rouge announcing the 300-job technology center. So a number of exciting projects just in the last month or so.

Also, Louisiana FastStart, which is LEDC's customized workforce solution for major job creation projects, was just recognized for the third year in a row as the Top Workforce Solution in America. That was from Business Facilities Magazine, so it's

something that is not only providing a competitive, compelling solution for companies that need to create a lot of jobs quickly and identify individuals to fill them, train them up, but it's also something that, with these national magazines, is continuing to grasp our reputation as a State as economic competitiveness is improving, so very significant there.

I want to draw your attention to the document that I just handed out entitled "Selected Exhibits for the Revenue Study Commission". This is something that we presented at the Commission's first meeting, which was held last month in July, and I just want to give you a little backdrop there. This is a commission that was created in this past legislative session. It was the Senate Concurrent Resolution sponsored by Senator Donahue to create this commission, and the legislation focuses it on tax exemptions primarily, so these would be deductions and exclusions and all sorts of mechanisms in our tax code, including some of the economic development tax credits and rebates that we're very familiar with. A number of entities, including LED, are charged with supporting the work commission, so we have sort of an official role, if you will, in helping the commission work through its agenda and try to identify places where Louisiana can improve.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 45

In terms of how we're approaching this, we have basically -- and this is covered on Page 1 -- tried to emphasize that while the legislation focuses on exemptions, you really need to consider that tax systems are composed with a number of different factors. You've got the activities that are being taxed in terms of sales and income, the way they're being taxed is, in terms of rates and the brackets, and, obviously, when you get into things like the exceptions and the exclusions; but very importantly, it is the entire tax system, not just, you know, an individual part of it that determines the overall tax burden and that determines our economic competitiveness in terms of tax.

People often will ask the question, you know, is this a high-tax estate or a low-tax estate, and what we've realized as we've begun to the dig into this over the last several years is that, you know, you can have a state that's sort of overall high tax, and when you look at particular types of individuals or particular types of businesses, you can find that, depending on what you're talking about, it could be high or low tax. So, you know, a high-tax state overall could be high or low taxed, depending on what you're talking about, and vice versa in terms of low-tax state. So when it comes to looking at competitiveness, it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Page 46

really important to ask the question both in an overall sense, as well as for particular types of things that you're concerned about, whether those are individuals or different business entities. And so as the commission proceeds with its work, we urge them to consider ways to improve the State's overall tax competitiveness.

Page 2 is really just sort of a way to conceptualize this, and it kind of flows from top to bottom. The things that are shaded in darker gray are the different types of exemptions, and so you can see how they factor in. So if you look at the top, you're talking about basically your total pie or your potential tax base and exemptions and exclusions would be taken out of that, and then you've got different types of tax mechanisms, both normal mechanisms as well as special tax mechanisms that are used. Those are also special types of exemptions, and then you end up through that, sort what you might call your gross potential revenues, and then you take out other exemptions, which would include tax credits and rebates and things like that, to end up with your actual tax revenue. I should mention that the sizes of these things are really just intended to provide kind of a conceptual illustration of this, and, very importantly, when talking about exemptions, what we're really talking about is a pretty broad

21222324

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 47

definition of that word that's used by the Department of Revenue, not just including things like tax credits, but also the exclusions and deductions and special formulas and rates that are used.

On Page 3, you can see that, because you're dealing with a pretty complex concept, there are many different measures of tax competitiveness. focuses on measures for individuals or, you know, individual people. You can see that at the top, one of the measures, probably the broadest measure that's out there, is the total state and local tax burden, which is done by the Tax Foundation every few years. Basically what it does is, it looks at the total state and local taxes that are collected as a percentage of the total personal income measure, so on that measure, Louisiana is the ninth lowest -- I should say the ninth best-ranked state in the country in terms of tax burdens, and we have one of lowest tax burdens in the company for individuals, fourth best in the South. This is a very broad measure. It doesn't consider the difference between different types of individuals. For example, we've done some analysis for some of our project work at LED that shows that while Louisiana is, for the most income earners, a lower tax state, has a lower tax burden than the State of Texas. For very high

Page 48

income earners, the State of Texas offers a lower tax burden. Obviously, we're talking in general terms here, but it just shows how some of those differences play out.

In terms of the sales tax burden,
Louisiana is number 48, which is to say we have the
third highest overall sales tax burden in the U.S., and
number 15 if you look at just the southern states. The
reason for that is that we have a relatively high local
sales tax burden. Louisiana State rate is not
extraordinary, but the local average rate, if you will,
tends to be much higher than we see elsewhere.

Conversely, if you look just at property taxes, which is
the item at the bottom, Louisiana has one of the lowest
burdens, the sixth lowest burden in the United States,
the fourth lowest in the south based on property tax
collections per capita. So you can see many different
measures shown us in different lights.

I did a similar thing on the following page on Business Tax Competitiveness. I think you've heard me talk about it before, the Location Matters Report, which was published by the Tax Foundation earlier this year. This is really a landmark study because before it was published, there was not a single publicly available analysis showing actual tax burdens

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 49

for a particular type of business in an apples-to-apples way across the 50 states, and that's what this did. And basically it did by identifying seven different types of industries and in modeling them based on their actual tax burden, both for new operations, where they would be eligible for typical, statutorily-available incentives, and also for mature operations, where those things would not apply. That analysis, that report, showed that Louisiana is the second top-ranked state in the country in terms of new firms and number 10 in mature firms and number one and three respectively in the South. So something that shows for particular types of industry sectors, including many of those that we're trying to target, these stacked up very, very well, and indeed have improved relative to some of the prior analyses that have been done here in Louisiana.

Another business tax measure that is commonly cited -- you'll see this in the media, and, in fact, it's one that factors into some of the others in business climate rankings -- there's one called the State Business Tax Climate Index. This is also published by the Tax Foundation. It's not a measure of business tax burden at all. What it is is a measure of how well or not well a state's tax system aligns with the philosophical view of the Tax Foundation. Basically

Page 50

they believe that a modern tax system should be simple, it should be low, it should be flat, have a broad base. On that measure, which looks at a number of different taxes, Louisiana ranks number 32 in the U.S., number 6 in the South. It doesn't consider differences in industry sectors in the way that the Location Matters Report does. Similarly, Cost and Earnings down on the bottom here, they do total state and local business taxes report, which basically looks at the aggregate of the business tax burden, just the ratio of taxes paid to private sectors, GSP. On that measure, Louisiana ranks number 35 in the Nation, number 13 in the South. Again, it doesn't look at any particular industry sectors.

So just to bring this to light a little bit more, on Page 5, I've shown, you know, how Louisiana gets to number two on the Location Matters Report. This is the one that does, you know, apples to apples for different industry sectors. Number two for new firms, and compared to Texas, number 42, so, you know, these are -- we often compare ourselves to Texas. Texas is, you know, often thought of as a state of a strong business environment. Obviously there are many competitive factors there, but, you know, here you see how the different components drive towards these very different outcomes for our states. The major

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 51

differences here are that in Texas, you have typically much higher property taxes for new firms, and, also,
Louisiana has a much stronger set of incentives
available for new firms.

If you flip to Page 6, you'll see that while we are number two for new firms and number 10 overall -- oh, excuse me. Number two for new firms and number 10 for mature firms, our rank on individual industry sectors is not uniform. In fact, you know, on new firms, we rank very, very high on several of the industry sectors, for example, R&D facilities, both types of manufacturing and corporate headquarters. However, we rank near the bottom of the list in terms of cost centers and distribution centers. We do not have -- or I should say we did not have until recently an ability to mitigate property taxes on those types of capital-intensive facilities in the way that we have for manufacturing in a high industrial tax exemption collection program. You know that we have a standard 10-year exemption for property taxes for manufacturers.

So, again, I think this just drives home the point that there's not a single measure of tax competitiveness that is sort of the silver bullet. These are complex systems that involve a lot of different pieces. When you ask the question about tax

competitiveness and ways to improve it, it's really important to focus on different types of businesses and not just sort of an overall measure.

I wanted to close with a little bit of what the overall exception landscape of Louisiana looks There's a lot of focus on economic development incentives and exemptions, you know, in part because they're often associated with high-profile projects that are covered in the media, but when you look at the total exemption pie in Louisiana, based on all of the different types of exemptions that I was describing earlier, what it shows is that as of fiscal year '11, state fiscal year '11, there were a total of about \$6.8-billion in exemptions. These are all reported in the Department of Revenues' Tax Exemption Budget, which is published annually. What it shows is that only five percent of that value is in economic development incentive programs that are managed by LED. Ninety-five percent is in other areas. These are things like the Federal -- the standard deduction for Federal taxes paid, but for individual and businesses, excess itemized deductions, the sales tax exemption on groceries, on prescription drugs. So, you know, it's not to say that we shouldn't look at the LED incentive programs. Absolutely those deserve close scrutiny, but if you look

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Page 53

at the total picture, 95 percent of the value of exemptions is in another areas.

So it looks like the Revenue Study Commission is going to meet approximately monthly. We just met on Wednesday and Thursday of this week, and we anticipate meeting again in September. It looks like what they're going to be doing is taking different tax types and looking at the exemptions within those types at each of their meetings, so, for example, having a meeting focused on maybe personal income tax and tax exemptions that are associated with that. Also, this week, the Governor indicated that the tax reform would be his number one focus for the coming year, so we anticipate that some of the ideas that get uncovered in this process, you know, could be kind of picked up and considered as we look at opportunities to improve Louisiana's tax competitiveness in the longer terms. this is something where LED would be very engaged over the coming months, where I'm personally very engaged right now. I wanted to share this with you just to bring you up to speed on that. This is something that, you know, has some, you know, impact on how we, you know, consider them, and certainly for all of you individually, how you think about your own business engagements and public services.

	Page 54
1	So I want to stop there and just open it
2	up for any questions or comments that y'all might have.
3	MR. STUART:
4	Thanks, Jason. I'm just curious, tax
5	credits (inaudible)?
6	MR. ELKOUBI:
7	Yes, it does. The question is about tax
8	credits, and it does.
9	MR. STUART:
10	How much of the exemptions are tax
11	credits, sellable tax credits?
12	MR. ELKOUBI:
13	Oh, I don't know the exact number, Rob,
L4	but I think it's a very small percentage, certainly
15	single digits, of the total.
16	MR. STUART:
17	Single digits of it's total, that's
18	still a meaningful number.
19	MR. ELKOUBI:
20	Sure. For example, the Film Tax Credit
21	Program is currently running at an annual rate of about
22	\$200-million, all of that \$200-million is potentially
23	sellable, so I would you know, without knowing
24	exactly where it is, I would guess that the number is in

the hundreds of millions.

Page 55

#### MR. STUART:

I would think so. And I'm just curious of your perspective on that, and, in particular, about salable tax credits. To the person buying the credits off, they're having paid five or six or whatever the charge is, so if you're a big company with a big tax bill, you have to use credits to offset that tax bill, the credits going for buying them, the company is incentivized to do something else, but who is not generating taxable income in a state level used to sell them.

### MR. ELKOUBI:

Sure.

#### MR. STUART:

I'm just really kind of asking for my own edification and partly to your view on that.

Clearly we're subsidizing industries to make them grow and industries don't really pay much in the way of income taxes. The companies that have a high tax bill are buying credits to offset their taxes, so Louisiana doesn't get the tax, but it's still a cash outlay of probably 85 to 96 percent of taxable income.

# MR. ELKOUBI:

Just a couple of comments. I would say, first of all, when you look at that issue, the sort of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 56

revenue benefit versus the cost of these programs, you know, in some cases you've got credits that are very sellable, but in other cases you've got essentially refundable tax credits, so even if you can get a refund for the amount in excess of your liability, even if they're not sellable -- so, I think -- you know, we would want to include that. You know, I think the way that I would look at that is, credits are credits for many different purposes. I think in some cases you would say that it's an economic development purpose where you would want a program, and, for example, this is how we manage some of our discretionary programs including the Megafund where part of the evaluation, the key part of the evaluation is an ROI analysis that ensures that the project, including direct impacts, generates more revenue than the costs, or the value of the incentives provided.

In the case of some of the other tax credits, the purpose is to, say, establish a certain industry sector. You know, for example, in the one that we were just talking about, film, as I appreciate it, the purpose of that program is to create and sustain a viable film production industry in Louisiana, and I think by that measure, the program has been very successful. Now, I think one of questions that would be

Page 57

asked is, okay, is that success worth the associated costs to the State, and that is the process that this community --

### MR. STUART:

Essentially, I think it's done well in the film jobs. It looks to me, as you step back, the question should have been asked at the time is, do you want films done at the expense of higher education and healthcare, because that's, in essence, where we've done the tax benefits. You moved to supplement the film business and reduced the State money available. In some cases, that might have been a good trade, but I think all of the people look at the tax credits and with what they do with the budget, particularly at times like this, impact certain other things that just can't be funded.

I'm also curious as to create some issues, I know you do the return on investment, but I think the return on investment is really all of the revenue that comes in off of that, so do you have some problems where you may be reducing corporate income taxes through the credits, which fund certain pieces of the budget and you look at the current investment picking up a lot of sales taxes and other things and looking at the parts of the budgets that may actually,

between state and local, give you more money, but it's not necessarily money available for healthcare and higher education? And we've cut our budget up.

## MR. ELKOUBI:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's right. I can't think of a lot of deals where the predominant impact such as of those others.

# MR. SAUCIER:

Jason, just put this in for your discussions with the Governor, it does tackle this. I've had clients both from out of town looking to bring distribution centers here, and I've got clients who already have distribution centers here, they're -- the ones who are already here feel sometimes that they're at a disadvantage. They have a business here in distribution, they're paying property taxes, full property taxes, someone comes from out of town, establishes the same business, directly competing, they're paying no property taxes. That's probably not fair. Certainly there's business retention and we also want to attract people to come here, but I believe that it needs to be looked at, because it can really upset the local operations when you bring in the big distribution competitors. There's a clear, direct disadvantage to what their cost of operations are.

you don't create this affect of people just going and moving to another state so they can get that. It's a very easy jump, so that property tax abatement, the property tax -- it's really not an abatement, it's forgiveness for 10 years, or 15 years in the case of Texas -- needs to be looked at really close, so if you don't disadvantage tremendously, competitively the businesses that are here already.

MR. ELKOUBI:

Sure.

MR. ROY:

Do we know in both of those points there's a general direction right now as to where the proposed reform may be headed?

## MR. ELKOUBI:

Yeah. I do think there's a general direction, at least from the administration. The Governor has indicated that he's open to tax reform ideas that are basically in the direction of lower, flatter and simpler tax mechanisms where you would not be raising revenue. So basically revenue-neutral reforms that simplify the tax system by, you know, eliminating some of the, maybe, exemptions and reducing rates in a way that broadens the base and creates the same amount of revenue.

### MR. ROY:

When you talk about that proposed change along with the lines of what Mr. Saucier is brining up, does that contemplate with what we just talked about what the State can do, or are we anticipating the totality of circumstances of what local governments are doing, the parishes and cities?

# MR. SAUCIER:

There are TIFs, which we don't do a lot of those now, TIFs are between local and state taxes that are (inaudible).

I really would like to go back to your point about the tax credits for movies. Yes, it does -- when you look at it, those tax credits are coming out of budget, but I think the way the State looks at it is that those businesses want to be here anyway, or maybe 70 percent of those businesses would be here anyway if you didn't have that tax investment. I like personally investment tax credits, where there's an existing business here that's going to expand and create jobs, usually bricks-and-mortar-created jobs, you know, and just fill them with robots and computer-picking mechanisms, somebody's got to run that, and that benefits everybody, someone here locally that's got to expand, and someone that's come in from out of town.

Page 61

#### MR. ELKOUBI:

Just bricks-and-mortar-created jobs, would you --

## MR. SAUCIER:

Well, typically bricks-and-mortar means jobs, unless somebody is coming in with a total robotic-picking system for a distribution center and they're going to have two people running a 100,000-square-foot warehouse, but that's usually the exception, not rule. So investment tax credits, bricks-and-mortar, which the move is for intellectual property or fair across the board, fair to the people who are here, and they're fair to the people who are from out of state.

## MR. STUART:

I guess the question I would ask, I think true investment tax credits would give you credit against taxes that you have paid. It makes sense that they will give you tax money, even though you don't pay taxes. In reality, it's coming out of somebody else's pocket.

### MR. ELKOUBI:

Just one thing on your comment, Mike, and that is that, you know, the incentive programs that are managed by LED without exception, as far as ones

Page 62

that are available, any expanding business, whether it's a Louisiana-based business already or one that's located in Louisiana for the first time, so, you know, it's really growth that we're -- it's really growth that's incentivized in these programs that are created, and it's something that is -- and I know, you know, that it's actually one of the most common misperceptions about the tax incentive programs that are managed by LED that they're only available for certain new businesses that are locating in Louisiana for the first time, whereas in reality -- and, Brenda, maybe you can help me on this -- the majority, and I think a significant majority, of those tax credit programs are utilized by existing Louisiana businesses.

# MS. GUESS:

Yes, they are. We're talking about the programs such as the Enterprise Zones or the Industrial Tax Abatement for equipment purchases for manufacturers, but the majority of them have been with the Enterprise Zone.

# MR. SAUCIER:

I think I was talking to one of the Sperry's about the property tax situation that they did with one particular company that built a facility that did not receive that here. They came here and they

Page 63

built a facility and they had property tax on their property and there was a reach to get another company into Louisiana and they received a property tax and I don't think the local company really could have received property tax abatement. I don't know. Maybe they just didn't apply for it.

MR. ROY:

So is the goal to adjust this number, in essence, for the Tax Foundation globally to look at the State and local tax burden, or are you concentrating just on the State side?

#### MR. ELKOUBI:

Okay. So, A.J.'s question is about if the goal is really to look at the State and local tax burden all over, and, you know, I think the commission -- the commission is comprised entirely of State legislators, so the individuals you have represented there are, I think, primarily -- I can't speak for them, but, you know, they certainty have the authority primarily over the State tax credit, you know. So far the activities of the commission have been focused on State-level tax provisions. I don't know if they're going to look closely at some of the local provisions or not, but I would anticipate that the majority of their time is going to be focused at the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Page 64

state level. Obviously anything that we do at the State level in terms of improvement would include a total state and local tax program, even if you sort of left that piece alone.

### MR. ROY:

I'm just wondering if they're going to see through the local governments. I don't mean to belabor the point.

### MR. COTTEN:

Just as the resident CPA, this is a great, I think, commission; but I want to go back a step further when we looked at streamlining commission, there was a movement recommendations for revenue at LED that I thought were very valuable from the Governor's streamline commission, not many of those got implemented. I think this is going to be, I hate to say, but a great search of all of the opportunities and what's out there and what we can do. There may be a more efficient tax system. I just hope they have the business climate to pass some of this, so thank you, Jason.

#### MR. ROY:

Any other questions or comments? (No response.)

MR. ROY:

22

23 24

Page 65

Mr. Elkoubi, thank you for that enlightening report, and, as you can tell, it's all near and dear to our heart.

MR. ELKOUBI:

I'm glad to do it.

MR. ROY:

But more on that subject later.

Ms. Bigner, you have an update on LA

Fund II?

## MS. BIGNER:

Yes, sir. So on February the 7th of 2011, the Board approved the application for LA Fund II for the Louisiana Seed Capital Program with use of the Small -- SSBCI funds. The fund was expected to make a final close of \$50-million, and the application was to take those funds and match them up against a million-dollar SSBCI investment. Joseph Lovett, Richard Babb and Thomas Dickerson are listed as the managing directors. Since the SSBCI funds are Federal funds, there's certain guidelines that have to be met that we did not previously have for the State fund. These circumstances include the waiver of the quarterly management fee and additional documentation for each investment. To ensure compliance with the Federal program guidelines, a modification to the subscription

Page 66

agreement was going to be needed. At the same time that we did Louisiana Fund II, we also did Themelios. I can never say that. We worked real hard with Ross Barrett, as well as trying to work with Mr. Lovett and Mr. Babb. We came up with an amendment to the subscription agreement for the other fund, and we have not been able to do one for Louisiana Fund II. We've sent -- we've worked really hard with them. There's been a lot of correspondence between us and the fund.

On July 12th, we sent a letter to
Louisiana Fund II requesting either a signed
subscription agreement or a letter declining the SSBCI
commitment to be delivered to our office by July 18th,
and it also stated that the Louisiana Fund II
management -- that without the signed subscription, LED
staff would have to request reconsideration from the
LEDC Board for the million-dollar investment.

If we dedicate these funds and they're not in use, then we cannot use them for other investments. As you saw previously on the Accountant's Report, there are two funds that we are expecting to receive applications and consideration for over the next coming months. LED staff really felt that it was difficult to continue with the commitment of the million dollars for Louisiana Fund II, so we're coming to the

Page 67

Board and we're asking that the commitment be withdrawn at this time. We've opened the door to Louisiana Fund II and told them that they are more than welcome to come back at any time and reapply for the -- for another investment for the \$1-million, as long as the funds are available, but we would like the Board to -- we're asking that the Board withdraw the initial commitment for the million dollars of the SSBCI investment.

MR. COTTEN:

Question: Themelios, did they comply --

MS. BIGNER:

We have a signed agreement with them. At this time, I've got the Limited Partners Agreement on my desk for final review. They would like to do -- they're closing next week, and they already have one investment that they're looking to invest in. So we have everything that we need to from them. That fund and New Orleans Startup Fund have both -- both have funding agreements, and everything is going as needed for those two, but we just have not been able to get a closure on Louisiana Fund II.

MR. COTTEN:

Have you spoken to Mr. Lovett or

Mr. Babb?

MS. BIGNER:

Page 68 We have numerous letters. We sent one 1 back in June asking them to work with us on a signed 2 3 agreement. I spoke to Richard Babb over the phone back in June, and his response was they're not able to sign 4 this subscription agreement at this time. 5 MR. ROY: 6 7 Okay. Any other question or discussion on staff's recommendation? 8 (No response.) 9 MR. ROY: 10 What is the pleasure of the Board 11 regarding the suggestion to remove the commitment? 12 MR. COTTEN: 13 I make a motion to remove it. 14 MR. ROY: 15 Motion to remove the commitment. 16 MR. ANDRE: 17 Second. 18 19 MR. ROY: 20 Second. 21 Any discussion? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. ROY: 24 Hearing none, all in favor "aye". 25 (Several members respond "aye".)

	Page 69
1	MR. ROY:
2	All opposed, "nay".
3	(No response.)
4	MR. ROY:
5	Without objection, it's removed.
6	MS. BIGNER:
7	Thank you. Again, for the record, we
8	are very open to Louisiana Fund to come back for an
9	investment in their fund. It's just, at this time,
10	we're just not able to hold those funds.
11	MR. ROY:
12	Thank you.
13	Any other business?
14	(No response.)
15	MR. ROY:
16	Hearing none, I'll entertain a motion to
17	adjourn.
18	MR. ROUSSEAU:
19	I move.
20	MR. ROY:
21	Motion by Mr. Saucier and Mr. Rousseau.
22	Thank you, we're adjourned.
23	(Meeting concludes at 10:56 a.m.)
24	
25	

Page 70

STATE OF LOUISIANA:

This verification is valid only for a transcript accompanied by my original signature and original blue seal on this page;

I, Elicia H. Woodworth, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this testimony was taken, do hereby certify that the witness, to whom oath was administered, after having been duly sworn by me upon authority of R.S. 37:2554 did testify as hereinbefore set forth in the foregoing pages;

That this testimony was reported by me in the stenotype reporting method, was prepared and transcribed by me or under my personal direction and supervision, and is a true and correct transcript to the best of my ability and understanding;

That I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on this date \_\_\_\_\_.

\_\_\_\_

Elicia H. Woodworth, CCR Certificate No. 27014